

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

SHAHID L.A. MAJID, aka Arthur Moseley,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§
	§ CIVIL ACTION 0:19-1793-MGL-PJG
RN Richards; RN Belser; Ms. Barbara, Kitchen	§
Supervisor; Cpt. Carter; Karol Berry, Health	§
Service HeadQuarters; Mr. Woodham, Kitchen	§
Supervisor; Sgt. Summers; RN Ms. Heather	§
Varnadore; Lt. C. Parker, all in their individual	§
capacities; SCDC;	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiff Shahid L.A. Majid (Majid) filed this 42 U.S.C. lawsuit pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting Defendants' motions for summary judgment be granted and Majid's motions for a preliminary injunction be denied. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

0:19-cv-01793-MGL Date Filed 10/19/20 Entry Number 172 Page 2 of 2

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on September 25, 2020, but Majid failed to file any

objections. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo

review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in

order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315

(4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to

object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of

the Court Defendants' motions for summary judgment are GRANTED and Majid's motions for a

preliminary injunction are **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 19th day of October, 2020, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the

date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2